WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL

<u>MEMO</u>

FILE NO AND TRIM NO: DDS-14-08-05 / 241030188448

DATE: 1 November 2024

MEMO TO: Hearing Commissioners

FROM: Bryony Steven, Policy Planner – Development Planning Unit

SUBJECT: Missed submission, further submission points and correction of

errors

- The purpose of this memorandum is to inform the Hearing Panel of submission points that have been missed from previous reports, missed further submissions, and submission point errors.
- 2. This memo is relevant to the following s42A reports: Overarching Matters and Part 1, Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori, Special Purpose Zone (Kāinga Nohoanga), Historic Heritage, Temporary Activities, and Natural Open Space Zone.
- 3. These errors were noticed in a further check of all original submission points and all further submission points across all s42A reports released to date.
- 4. The submission points that were omitted from s42A reports and are addressed through this memorandum, are as follows:
 - a. Clampett Investments Ltd [284.2]
 - b. Geoffrey Sperry [333.1]
 - c. Horticulture New Zealand [295.12]
- 5. These missed submissions are responded to in Attachment 1.

Assessment of further submissions

- 6. Further submissions were missed across five reports addressed in this memo and are shown in Attachment 1.
- 7. The further submission by the Ohoka Residents Association [FS137] in opposition to Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL) [326] was omitted from the relevant submissions in the SASM s42A and Temporary Activities s42A reports. RIDL submitted broadly across the Proposed Plan and the ORA further submission opposed the entire RIDL submission, however, the opposition in the ORA FS is in relation to the RIDL rezoning proposal at Ohoka, and it is therefore not relevant to the RIDL submission points addressed in the SASM and TEMP s42A reports. Consequently, I do not consider any prejudice to arise from this omission.

1

- 8. The further submission by Forest and Bird [FS 78] was omitted from submission points in the SASM and Historic Heritage s42A reports. Forest and Bird were identified elsewhere in the Historic Heritage s42A report. For the SASM report, the submission point Forest and Bird supported was accepted by the report author and consequently, Forest and Bird achieved the relief that was sought in its FS. I, therefore, do not consider any prejudice to arise from this omission.
- 9. The recommendations on these further submissions are included as Attachment 1. Given the length of the s42A submission tables, only the changed submissions are included in this memo. In order to distinguish between the recommendations made in the s42A reports and the recommendations that arise from this memo, recommendations from this memo are shown in blue text (with <u>underline</u> and <u>strike out</u> as appropriate).

Attachment 1

Table 1: Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions relating to missed submission points, further submissions, and numbering errors

| Sub. | Submitter / | Provision | Decision Requested | Section of this | Officer's | Officers' Reasons/Comments | Recommended | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Ref. | Further | | (Summary) | Report where | Recommendation | | Amendments to | | | |
| | Submitter | | | Addressed | | | Proposed Plan? | | | |
| Missed | Missed submissions | | | | | | | | | |
| 284.2 | Clampett | <u>Definitions -</u> | Retain definitions as notified. | | Accept in part | Recommended amendments have been made to several definitions used in the | No | | | |
| | <u>Investments</u> | General | | | | <u>Proposed Plan. Some definitions are recommended to be retained as notified.</u> | | | | |
| | <u>Ltd</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>333.1</u> | Geoffrey Sperry | Planning maps - | Request for clear information | | N/A | The submitter seeks further information about zoning and how it may affect the | No | | | |
| | | general | on the impact of the | | | submitters property in the Ashley area. | | | | |
| | | | Proposed District Plan. | | | <u>Submissions on the Ashley LLRZO overlay area were addressed in the Large Lot</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | Residential Zone s42A report in Hearing Stream 12C. More information about | | | | |
| | | | | | | rezoning and the Proposed District Plan can be found on the Council website | | | | |
| | | | | | | under the District Plan Review webpage. | | | | |
| 295.12 | <u>Horticulture</u> | <u>Definition of</u> | Retain definition of 'best | | Accept | The definition is a National Planning Standards definition, and no other | No | | | |
| | New Zealand | best practicable | practicable option' as | | | submissions were received on the definition. I therefore recommend the | | | | |
| | | <u>option</u> | notified. | | | submission be accepted and the definition be retained as notified. | | | | |

| Sub. Ref. | Submitter / Further Submitter | Provision | Decision Requested (Summary) | Section of this Report where Addressed | Officer's Recommendation | Officers' Reasons/Comments | Recommended Amendments to Proposed Plan? |
|---------------------|---|---------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Sites and Are | eas of Significance to Māori s42A r | eport | | | | | |
| 419.70 | Department of Conservation | SASM-MD3 | Retain SASM-MD3 Ngā wai as notified. | 3.7 | Accept | Submission does not seek any changes | No |
| <u>FS 78</u> | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ | | <u>Support</u> | | <u>Accept</u> | | |
| 326.245 - | Rolleston Industrial | Various | Various | 3.4 | Accept | Submissions do not seek any changes. | No |
| 326.261 | Developments Limited | | | 3.5 | | | |
| | | | | 3.6 | | | |
| | | | | 3.7 | | | |
| FS 137 | Ohoka Residents Association | | <u>Oppose</u> | | Reject | | |
| Special Purp | ose Zone (Kāinga Nohanga) s42A r | eport | | | | | |
| 142.1 <u>0</u> | Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga | SPZ(KN)-P2 | Retain SPZ(KN)-P2 as notified. | | Accept | The submissions are in support of the policies. | No |
| 316.18 <u>0</u> | Canterbury Regional Council | SPZ(KN)-O1 | Retain SPZ(KN)-O1 as proposed or | | | The submissions are in support of the objective. | No |
| | | | retain the original intent. | | | | |
| Historic Heri | tage s42A report | | | | | | |
| 419.3 | Department of Conservation | Alteration | Amend the definition of 'alteration': " c. permanent addition of heritage fabric" | 3.3.1 | Reject | See body of the report for the assessment of this submission point. | No |
| FS 78 | Forest and Bird | | Support | | <u>Reject</u> | | |
| Temporary A | Activities s42A report | | | | | | |
| 326.31 | Rolleston Industrial | Definition of | Support definition of temporary | 3.3.1 | Accept in part | This submission supports this definition. | No |
| | Developments | temporary | activity. Retain definition of | | | | |
| | | activity | temporary activity as notified. | | | | |

| Sub. Ref. | Submitter / Further Submitter | Provision | Decision Requested (Summary) | Section of this Report where Addressed | Officer's Recommendation | Officers' Reasons/Comments | Recommended Amendments to Proposed Plan? |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| <u>FS 137</u> | Ohoka Residents Association | | <u>Oppose</u> | | <u>Reject</u> | | |
| Natural Open | Space Zone s42A report | | | | | | |
| 113. 1 8 | Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust | NOSZ-R11 | Delete NOSZ-R11(2), which refers to combined GFA. | | See relevant section of the s42A report | See relevant section of report. | Yes |
| Overarching a | nd Part 1 Matters s42A report | | | | | | |
| 414.5 <u>0</u> | Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Regulations | Clarify if the Regulations List is including the Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020 and if it does, clarify where responsibility for enforcing them sits. | 5.4.7-8 of Overarching Matters and Part 1 s42A report | Accept | The Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020 are recommended to be added to the list of national regulations. | Yes |