## **Before the Hearings Panel**

### At Waimakariri District Council

**Under** Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act

In the matter of the Proposed Waimakariri District Plan and

Variation 2 to the Proposed Waimakariri District Plan

**Between** Various submitters

And Waimakariri District Council

Respondent

Council Officer's Final Right of Reply on Variation 2

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. My full name is Peter Gordon Wilson. I am employed as a Principal Policy Planner for the Waimakariri District Council.
- 2. The purpose of this document is to provide a Final Right of Reply on Variation 2 (Stream 7A) matters.
- 3. I have had the benefit of hearing evidence presented at hearing stream 7A. Where I have relied on or referred to this evidence in my response to questions, I have recorded this in my response.
- 4. I have provided a final Appendix A, containing my recommendations, and an updated Appendix B, showing the changes from the Appendix B I presented in my s42A repot.
- 5. I am authorised to provide this evidence on behalf of the District Council.

Date:	
28/11/2024	

1. Questions were set out for myself as reporting officer to respond to at page 4 of Minute 41. These are set out in the format as presented by the Panel.

Question	Comments
Would making more than two new	do not consider that a FC rule with a controlled activity status, which applies to three or more dwellings
dwellings a controlled activity in the	per site is a qualifying matter, as it does not limit density. It merely applies a consideration of what may
Medium Density Residential Zone in	need to happen to provide for that density. I consider that this FC provision, or FC provisions of this nature,
order to determine whether a	are enabling, in that they are seeking to ensure that if there are infrastructure matters that need to be
financial contribution is payable be	addressed to provide for density, that they are appropriately costed and designed so that the infrastructure
deemed to be introducing a new	need is addressed for the long term.
Qualifying Matter to modify the	
MDRS (and in particular clauses 2	As I understand it, the particular issue that the rule was seeking to manage was the situation with loss of
and 10 of Schedule 3A of the RMA). If	water pressure to three storey buildings, as in, the rule applies vertically to the third storey, rather than to
the new activity status is a Qualifying	prevent three units at two-storeys. Whilst there is ample capacity in the potable water network for
Matter, have the relevant tests in	additional population, pressure may become an issue at higher heights, especially if these dwellings
sections 77J and L been met?	approach the height of the existing reservoirs and/or when water levels in the reservoirs are lower. Most of the network is gravity supplied from the reservoirs to dwellings, but there are booster pumps in outlying areas, albeit, these areas are not likely to be within the medium density zone in the context of FC-R1, but may be in the context of FC-R2. This may necessitate the need for booster pumps for each building, and for these to be sized and designed accordingly.
	I accept that the rule as notified does not express this issue, and nor was technical evidence presented on the water pressure issue. As notified, the rule would not deal with the height issue, even if it were to be accepted in the absence of technical evidence. It is however in the standard FC-S2(c).
	Thus, I cannot support FC-R1 and FC-R2 applying to only two dwellings. I would recommend that it is amended to apply to three or more dwellings.
	For large subdivisions, of 4 or more units, water supply is a consideration under the subdivision provisions, and pressure issues would be identified and potentially also with financial contributions required under the amended FC-R1 and FC-R2.

FC-R1 New Residential Units
Medium Density Residential Zone

Activity status: PER

Where:

there are more than two three residential units per site;

a financial contributions assessment has been completed in accordance with FC-S1; and all monies calculated under FC-S2 to FC-S4 are paid.

Activity status when compliance is not achieved: DIS

FC-R2 Subdivision

All Zones

Activity status: PER

Where:

more than two three new allotments are created;

a financial contributions assessment has been completed in accordance with FC-S1; and all monies calculated under FC-S2 to FC-S4 are paid.
Activity status when compliance is not achieved: DIS

What is the relationship between Waimakariri District Council's Development Contributions Policy (DCP) and the Operative District Plan's (ODP) Financial Contributions Chapter (FC Chapter)? In particular: a. Was the DCP in place when the District Plan was made operative? b. How often are the FC Chapter provisions triggered, and a financial contribution is payable?

The DCP has been operative well before the PDP was notified, and is updated annually through the Long Term Plan, under the Local Government s198 Act 2002 process.

I consider that Development Contributions under the LGA, and Financial Contributions under the RMA to be separate processes. Development Contributions are more commonly used, but these are for specific and scheduled purposes.

To date, financial contributions emerge in the context of consideration of consent applications, particularly where the development is larger in scale or size, and/or requires consent anyway. This means larger developments and any subdivision of land. The planning implementation unit have informed me that they

apply financial contribution conditions to consents about 3-4 times per year, under s108 RMA. These are usually for roading matters.

#### 3. In what sort of circumstances is it envisaged that the PDP FC Chapter provisions would be triggered?

Multi-unit developments for both land use and subdivision of 4 or more units would trigger them, so larger subdivisions and greenfield developments, and most likely, on transport matters and the vesting of land, under FC-S2 and FC-S4. Three waters matters are most likely to be handled through development contributions, although there may be situations where a proposal, on its merits, requires additional contributions beyond that expressed in the development contributions schedule. FC-S2 expresses this additionality.

This would invariably apply in the large greenfield developments that will follow rezoning.

Rural subdivision will also most likely trigger them, again, particularly on roading, but also as three water infrastructure in those areas may not exist or be of an appropriate standard. In being responsive to these applications, I consider there is a need to ensure that growth pays for itself, as the development contributions schedule and this process is not linked to the NPSUD – Council cannot anticipate, for the purposes of a development contribution schedule, what applications in what areas it may or may not receive ahead of time. Where areas have been rezoned, I would expect that development contributions apply and have been considered, but being responsive to out-of-zone applications, given that the NPSUD is more enabling of growth in these areas provided they are well-functioning, necessitates also having financial contribution provisions.

I am conscious that the absence of financial contributions provisions in the plan in context of the NPSUD responsiveness provisions may mean that contributions cannot be levied, which I consider to be a risk, especially as Council cannot know in advance where it may receive an application, but must still be responsive. Development contributions only cover existing or proposed infrastructure, when the proposal is known by Council in advance.

Therefore I consider that the financial contributions provisions, if amended to refer to more than three units remain appropriate for the district.

Appendix C contains a list of financial contributions levied from 1 January 2015 to June 2021. As stated above, most of these are for roads, rural roads, and the total monetary amount received is just over \$500,000. Please respond to Ms McKeever's I note Ms McKeever's evidence at para 37-45 on how FC-S4 operates in respect of additional future lots and evidence regarding FC-S4 related to effects on the existing roading network. I agree with her about the potential uncertainty, noting that it was financial contributions for Roading not Council's intention to introduce this uncertainty. I note that the concept of a "development" is already on the basis that the methodology in clause (c) which I consider encapsulates what Ms McKeever has stated about known effects at the time refers in part to a calculation that of subdivision design and consent. "Future additional lots" would either be in the subdivision plans, or, if allows consideration of 'any there is additional area, subject to another consent at a later stage, and/or appropriated to another potential lots that could develop' developer. Therefore I agree that the rule can should be amended to remove this uncertainty. I have which appears to be a subjective proposed changes as below. assessment. c. the percentage contribution required to be paid by the development will be calculated as follows: vehicle movements per day likely to be generated by the development divided by vehicle movements per day of the development plus vehicle movements per day of any potential additional lots that could develop plus average daily traffic: % contribution = vmpd development/ (vmpd development + vmpd potential new lots + current average daily traffic); My recommendation on [V1 69.5] would thus change to accept. As I stated in my answer above, my understanding of the trigger was that the genesis of it was out of Please advise whether WDC has concern for additional storeys above two storeys creating water supply pressure issues to those buildings. reviewed its DCP to reflect the This issue still exists, although I note no engineering evidence has been presented on the matter, and as MDRS? Is there a capacity issue within those areas of the District such, I do not consider I have scope to recommend the changes. proposed to be zoned Medium To address the issue, the FC provisions would need to be amended to apply to three storeys or above, but I Density Residential that supports retaining the trigger at two new would also need to be satisfied as s42A reporting officer of the scale and nature of the issue. On that as residential units? stated previously, I have no evidence. Development contributions would not cover this matter, as the need for a booster pump cannot be determined on a site-specific basis in advance of a development, and as a permitted activity, it would not

be known by	Council in advance for the MDRS scenarios.
-------------	--

There is thus a level of risk here, but noting that Mr Yeoman has stated that there is no demand for three-storey dwellings in the district, and nor is there likely to be any demand, that the risk is low.

# Please consider the Panel's questions about the workability of proposed rules FC-R1 and FCR2.

### Overall workability

I consider I have addressed the two or more unit issue, by recommending that they now apply at 3 or more units. I note that these provisions are more targeted than the operative plan FCs, in that they now only apply to the medium density residential zone for developments of more than three units, and subdivision anywhere.

My consideration of workability of the provisions is based on alignment with other likely consent procedures, as I do consider it would be difficult to have a regime for financial contributions that requires a consent, or a consideration of a consent, when everything else is a permitted activity.

In the scenarios where financial contributions will apply, there will always be a consent requirement, for subdivision (in all zones) as at least a controlled activity, and for the 3 or more unit developments that do not involved subdivision, as a restricted discretionary activity (MRZ-R17).

Thus the financial contributions will be assessed as part of a general consent application for subdivision and/or land use, as a controlled activity status applies at a minimum to all of the activities covered in my recommended amendments. The situation won't arise where something is otherwise a permitted activity, but requires a controlled consent for financial contributions.

I have recommended matters of control that link to the subdivision matters of control for assessment.

### Application to Tuahiwi

I note the Panel's questions about the application of the provisions to Tuahiwi, and the many submissions on this topic. I had recommended addressing the matter by separating out the application of the subdivision financial contribution rule to apply specifically to Tuahiwi, with an advice note that indicated that Council would apply particular discretion to these applications, insofar that I consider that Council has

discretion anyway.

However, in reflecting on this text, I note that the text sits somewhere between an advice note and a rule, and its overall status needs to be clarified. In doing this, I consider that the best way to resolve this is to recommend an additional matter of control that applies to SPZ(KN) only. This matter of control in turn implements SPZ(KN)-O1 and SPZ(KN)-P1, which set out the aspirations of Runanga for the use and development of land. This includes recognition of tikanga Maori in how those decisions on financial contributions may be reached.

# Appendix A

Objectives	
FC-01	<u>Infrastructure</u> Impacts
	Residential intensification, new <u>subdivision</u> , and development equitably contribute towards remedying or mitigating <u>effects</u> on Council <u>infrastructure</u> .

<b>Activity Rules</b>	
FC-R1	New Residential Units

Medium Density	Activity status: PER CON <sup>1</sup>	Activity status when compliance is not achieved: DIS
Residential Zone	Where:	
	<ol> <li>there are more than two three residential units per site;</li> <li>a financial contributions assessment has been completed in accordance with FC-S1; and</li> <li>all monies calculated under FC-S2 to FC-S4 are paid</li> </ol>	
	Matters of control     SUB-MCD2 – Subdivision design	
	SUB-MCD6 – Infrastructure	

FC-R2	<u>Subdivision</u>	<u>ubdivision</u>							
All Zones except SPZ(KN) <sup>3</sup>		Activity status when compliance is not achieved: DIS							
	Where:								
	1. more than <u>two three</u> new allotments are created;								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [66.5], Kainga Ora [77.6] <sup>2</sup> Kainga Ora [77.6]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ngai Tuahuriri submitters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [66.6], Kainga Ora [77.7]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Kainga Ora [77.6]

	2. a financial contributions assessment has been	
	completed in accordance with FC-S1; and	
	3. all monies calculated under FC-S2 to FC-S4 are paid	
	Matters of control	
	SUB-MCD2 – Subdivision design	
	SUB-MCD6 – Infrastructure	
CD7//AD	Activity status: CON <sup>6</sup>	Activity status when compliance is not
SPZ(KN)		achieved: DIS
	Where:	
	1. more than two three new allotments are created;	
	2. <u>a financial contributions assessment has been</u>	
	completed in accordance with FC-S1; and	
	3. <u>all monies calculated under FC-S2 to FC-S4 are paid</u>	
	prior to the issue of a completion certificate under	
	s224c of the Resource Management Act 1991	
	Matters of control	
	SUB-MCD2 – Subdivision design	
	SUB-MCD6 – Infrastructure	
	EC CDZ/(/Al) MCD4	
	FC-SPZ(KN)-MCD1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [66.6], Kainga Ora [77.7] <sup>7</sup> Kainga Ora [77.6]

## FC-SPZ(KN)-MCD1

- 1. Decisions on financial contributions that apply to subdivision on Maori land in the SPZ(KN) at Tuahiwi ensure that
  - a. Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga
    exercise kaitiakitanga in the use and
    development of ancestral land for their
    social, cultural and economic well-being;
    and
  - b. Enable the use and development of Māori land for a wide range of activities in accordance with tikanga Māori, including kāinga nohoanga and mahinga kai, to support the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua.8

### **Financial Contribution Standards**

### FC-S1: Assessment Methodology

- 1. The District Council will issue a Financial Contribution Calculation Assessment (which will be valid for three years from the date of issue) that specifies:
  - a. <u>all reasonable costs incurred or to be incurred in providing the</u>
    <u>service, utility or facility (including but not limited to; any legal, survey, design, planning, engineering costs and disbursements);</u>

Activity status when compliance is not achieved: N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Runanga submitters, as set out in para 64.

- b. <u>any reasonable costs to avoid, and subdivision;</u> the environment from intensification, and subdivision;
- c. the value of and/or the costs of acquiring any or interest in any land required for the service, utility, facility or reserve;
- d. an allowance or adjustment for inflation; and
- e. an allowance for the overhead costs of the Council and/or any costs associated with servicing Council expenditure in providing or upgrading a service or facility.

### FC-S2: Financial Contribution Calculation for Water, Wastewater and Stormwater

- 1. As part of the District Council Financial Contribution Calculation Assessment for drinking water, wastewater and stormwater <u>firstly an assessment will be undertaken to<sup>10</sup> the following calculation methodology will be used assess whether the upgrade, extension or new infrastructure required already accounted for in growth component allowed for in the Development Contributions policy; <u>and then</u></u>
  - a. assess the increase in capacity of the upgrade, extension or new infrastructure required and only charge the proportion needed to service the proposed development;
  - b. where required to be installed on Council land and agreed to by the Council, the 100% estimated cost of all materials, installation and commissioning of a water supply booster pump and associated infrastructure to maintain water pressure in any building three or more stories in height; and

Activity status when compliance is not achieved: N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 199 Johns Rd et al [68.5], Eliot Sinclair and Partners [69.4]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [66.11], Kainga Ora [77.9]

c. assess provision of on-site stormwater management, and if sufficient to manage a 10 year storm, either no or a reduced financial contribution will be required.

# FC-S4: Financial Contribution Calculation for Roading

- 1. As part of the District Council Financial Contribution Calculation Assessment for roading <u>firstly an assessment will be undertaken to<sup>11</sup> the following calculation methodology will be used:</u> assess whether the upgrade of extension to or new roading infrastructure required is already accounted for in the growth component allowed for in the Development Contributions policy; <u>and then</u>
  - if not provided for in the Development Contributions policy, the cost of the upgrade extension or new roading infrastructure will be calculated by Council;
  - b. the percentage contribution required to be paid by the development will be calculated as follows: vehicle movements per day generated by the development divided by vehicle movements <u>likely to be generated</u> per day of the development plus vehicle movements per day <del>of any potential additional lots that could develop 12 plus average daily traffic: % contribution = vmpd development/ (vmpd development + vmpd potential new lots + current average daily traffic);</del>
  - c. where new roads are required, the financial contribution will be based on a unit rate per kilometre of new road multiplied by the number of new lots divided by the existing lots plus proposed new lots; and

Activity status when compliance is not achieved: N/A

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [66.11], Kainga Ora [77.9]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Eliot Sinclair and Partners [V1 69.5]

d. where land is required to be vested for roading purposes, the area of land, the value of the land, and it's its<sup>13</sup> proposed classification, shall be specified by Council.

<sup>13</sup> Cl 16(2) minor error

9.5	Eliot	FC-S4:	Oppose	Standard FC-S4	Section	Reject	Potential development are should	No
	Sinclair and	Financial		should assess a	8.13	Accept	still be assessed upfront to avoid	
	Partners	Contribution		financial			the added cost of incremental	Yes
	Limited	Calculation		contribution at the			assessments and upgrades	
		for Roading		time of				
				physical			The reference to additional lots has	
				development when			been removed	
				the actual effect				
				can be quantified.				
				It is not				
				appropriate to				
				charge for future				
				potential				
				development, and				
				therefore, should				
				be aligned with the				
				development				
				contribution policy.				
				Amend FC-S4:				
				"1. As part of the				
				District Council				
				Financial				
				Contribution				
				Calculation				
				Assessment for				
				roading the				
				following				
				calculation				
				methodology will				
				be used:				
				a. assess whether				
				the upgrade of				
				extension to or				
				new roading				
				infrastructure				
				required is already				
				accounted for in				
				the growth				
				component				
				allowed for in the	I	1		

1	T	1	-		<del></del>	
			Development			
			Contributions			
			policy;			
			b. if not provided			
			for in the			
			Development			
			Contributions			
			policy, the cost of			
			the upgrade			
			extension or new			
			roading			
			infrastructure will			
			be calculated by			
			Council;			
			c. the percentage c			
			ontribution require			
			d to be paid by the			
			development will b			
			e calculated as follo			
			ws: vehicle movem			
			ents per day gener			
			ated by the develo			
			pment divided by v			
			ehicle movements			
			per day of the deve			
			lopment plus vehicl			
			e movements per d			
			ay of any potential			
			additional lots that			
			could develop plus			
			average daily traffic			
			: % Roading financi			
			al contribution = v			
			mpd development.			
			/ (vmpd developme			
			nt + vmpd potential			
			new lots +			
			current average dai			
			ly traffic);			
			.,			
			"			
1						

77.6	Kainga Ora	FC-R1 New	Amend	Financial	Section	Accept in part	Changes to the rule have been	Yes
-	- Homes	Residential		contributions,	8.9		made to link it to the code	
	and	Units		through Rule FC-			compliance certificate	
	Communiti			R1(1) should apply			•	
	es			to more than three			Changes have been made to apply	
				residential units,			the rules to three or more units	
				on the basis that				
				the MDRS permit				
				up to 3 units per				
				site and this level				
				of development				
				should be planned				
				for by Council in				
				terms of				
				infrastructure				
				requirements and				
				funding, and				
				financial				
				contributions				
				should be paid				
				prior to the issue of				
				a Code Compliance				
				Certificate under				
				the Building Act.				
				Amend FC-R1:				
				1. there are more				
				than				
				two three residenti				
				al units per site;				
				2. a financial				
				contributions				
				assessment has				
				been completed in				
				accordance with				
				FC-S1; and				
				3. all monies				
				calculated under				
				FC-S2 to FCS4 are				
				paid,				
				prior to the issue of				
				a				
				Code Compliance C				
				ertificate under the				
				Building Act 2004."				
				Make				
				consequential				
				amendments as				
				required to V1,				
				including as a				
				minimum, the				
				deletion of all				
				ueietion on all				

		other infrastructure assessment matters applying to land			

# Appendix C – Financial contributions from January 2016 to 2021

					Invoic											
Transacti on ID	Proper ty ID	Modu le Code	Transacti on Date	Module Referen ce	e Numb er	Transacti on Type Charge,	Statu s	Amount	Char ge Effect	Transacti on Month & Year	Financi al Year	Contra	Notes	Transacti on Month & Year	Account	Charge Type
		Debtor s,				Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation			effect on Charg e				50% seal extension of Camside Road as per agreement			
24412863		Debtor s	22/01/201 5	11901	651725	Charge, Initial	Curre nt	13,294.6 8		201501	2015	DebFCRoad	Trim- 140820088281	Jan 2015	GL100000004 100	FC1415RO AD
		Debtor s, Debtor	22/01/201			Debit Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre		on Charg e Amou				50% seal extension of main Drain road as per agreement		GL100000004	FC1415RO
24412865		S		37048	651726	n, Y Charge, Initial	nt	9,921.45	nt No effect	201501	2015	DebFCRoad	Trim-140716075922	Jan 2015	100	AD
		Debtor s, Debtor	17/02/201			Debit Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	13,043.4	on Charg e Amou				50% seal extension of 369 Waimakariri Gorge Road as per aggrement		GL100000004	FC1415Roa
34673354		S	6	735079	670530	Charge, Initial Debit	nt	8	nt No effect on	201602	2016	DebFCRoad	Trim-151209161979	Feb 2016	100	d
		Debtor s, Debtor	27/05/201			Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	18,812.0	Charg e Amou				50% seal extension of North Eyre Road as per		GL100000004	FC1415Roa
27213806		S	5	734450	658566	Charge, Initial Debit	nt	0	nt No effect on	201505	2015	DebFCRoad	agreement	May 2015	100	d
		Debtor s, Debtor		RC14506		Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	19,521.4	Charg e Amou						GL100000004	FC1314Roa
26524689		S	5/05/2015	3	657349	Charge, Initial Debit	nt	6	nt No effect on	201505	2015	DebFCRoad		May 2015	100	d
		Debtor s, Debtor	25/03/201	RC11517		Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	11,600.0	Charg e Amou						GL100000004	FC1112Roa
25870436		S		6	655119		nt		nt	201503	2015	DebFCRoad		Mar 2015	100	d
25870434		Debtor	25/03/201	RC13539	655118	Charge,	Curre	7,665.91	No	201503	2015	DebFCRoad		Mar 2015	GL100000004	FC1314Roa

	s, Debtor s Debtor s,	5	0		Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio n, Y Charge, Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation	nt		effect on Charg e Amou nt No effect on Charg e						100	d
25241271	Debtor s Debtor s,	24/02/201 5	RC13539 0	653348	Transactio n, Y Charge, Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation	Curre nt	5,000.00	Amou nt No effect on Charg e	201502	2015	DebFCRoad	Ashley Estates Ltd Storm water Financial Contribution	Feb 2015	GL100000004 100	FC1314Roa d
22869066	Debtor s Debtor s,	11/11/201 4	RC11526 0	647940	Transactio	Curre nt	81,670.0 0	Amou	201411	2015	DebDCDrainWRgaS PA	Infrastructure cost sharing	Nov 2014	GL100000004 100	FC1112RG DW
39898881	Debtor s Debtor s,	29/07/201 6	RC15526 3	678248	Transactio n, Y Charge, Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation	Curre nt	87,958.9 6		201607	2017	DebFCRoad		Jul 2016	GL100000004 100	FC1415Roa d
41286133	Debtor s Debtor s,	9/09/2016	RC14531 1	680450	Transactio	Curre nt	9,000.00	Amou nt No effect on Charg e	201609	2017	DebFCRoad	Condition 8.1 of the Environment Court Consent Order required the consent holder to pay a Roading Financial Contribution of	Sep 2016	GL100000004 100	Fc1415roa d
48180216	Debtor s Debtor s,	5/07/2017	RC15518 5	694669	Transactio	Curre nt	13,688.7 5	Amou nt No effect on Charg e	201707	2018	DebFCRoad	\$15742.06 including GST.	Jul 2017	GL100000004 100	FC1415Roa d
51705641	Debtor s Debtor	20/09/201 7 20/02/201	4	686013	Refund, Refund, Y Charge,	Curre nt Curre	4,000.00 28,000.0		201709	2017	DebRefundSuspens e	RC145584 Refund Boroma Trust	Sep 2017	CtlSundryDebt ors GL100000004	FC1617Roa d FC1718Ox1
55331871	S,		1	706611		nt		effect	201802	2018	DebFCWaterOX1		Feb 2018	100	W

	Debtor				Debit			on							
	S				Fee/Charg			Charg							
					e Creation			e •							
					Transactio			Amou							
					n, Y Chargo			nt No							
					Charge, Initial			effect							
					Debit			on							
	Debtor				Fee/Charg			Charg							
	S,				e Creation			e							
	Debtor		RC13501		Transactio	Curre		Amou						GL100000004	FC1718Roa
55776219	S	6/03/2018	4	707298	n, Y	nt	4,228.62	nt	201803	2018	DebFCRoad		Mar 2018	100	d
					Charge,			No							
					Initial			effect							
					Debit			on							
	Debtor				Fee/Charg			Charg							
	S,	22/04/204	DC42544		e Creation		44077.0	e						C140000004	FC4.04.0D
55034669	Debtor	23/01/201		722000	Transactio	Curre	_	Amou	201001	2010	DahECDaad		lan 2010	GL100000004	FC1819Roa
55024668	S	9	/	722909	n, Y Charge,	nt	9	nt No	201901	2019	DebFCRoad		Jan 2019	100	d
					Initial			effect							
					Debit			on							
	Debtor				Fee/Charg			Charg							
	S,				e Creation			е				Additional lot for road			
	Debtor	19/09/201	RC19513		Transactio	Curre		Amou				seal extension upgrades		GL100000004	FC1819Roa
72806633	S	9	6	735190	n, Y	nt	3,313.04	nt	201909	2020	DebFCRoad	(Loburn Kowai Road)	Sep 2019	100	d
					Charge,			No							
					Initial			effect							
	5.1.				Debit			on							
	Debtor				Fee/Charg			Charg							
	s, Debtor		RC19503		e Creation Transactio	Curre		e Amou			DebDCSewerWden			GL100000004	FCSewwot
73115628	S	2/10/2019		736069	n, Y	nt	6,412.17	nt	201910	2020	dTua		Oct 2019	100	ua
3113020	3	2,10,2013	7	750005	Charge,	110	0,412.17	No	201310	2020	u i uu		OCT 2013	100	uu
					Initial			effect							
					Debit			on							
	Debtor				Fee/Charg			Charg							
	S,				e Creation			е							
	Debtor	13/04/201			Transactio	Curre		Amou				Roading for 458 Loburn		GL100000004	FC1718Roa
56508880	S	8	9	709125	n, Y	nt	8,359.10	nt	201804	2018	DebFCRoad	Terrace Raod	Apr 2018	100	d
					Charge,			No							
					Initial Dabit			effect							
	Debtor				Debit Fee/Charg			on Charg							
	S,				e Creation			e				Roading for 134 Smarts			
	Debtor	13/04/201	RC17509		Transactio	Curre		Amou				Road Loburn. Four lot		GL100000004	FC1718Roa
56508882	S	8		709126	n, Y	nt	2,176.84	nt	201804	2018	DebFCRoad	Rural Subdivision	Apr 2018	100	d
	Debtor				Charge,		-	No					•		
	S,				Initial			effect							
	Debtor		RC16507		Debit	Curre		on						GL100000004	
52311282	S	3/10/2018	3	717897	Fee/Charg	nt	1	Charg	201810	2019	DebDCDrainERga		Oct 2018	100	Fc1112Erdr

					e Creation Transactio			e Amou							
					n, Y			nt				Et a a stal Constitution			
78537161	Debtor s, Debtor s	24/04/202 0		746149	Charge, Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio n, Y Charge,	Curre nt	7,626.09	No effect on Charg e Amou nt	202004	2020	DebFCRoad	Financial Contribution of \$8770.00 per lot including GST for lots 1 to 5 towards the costs to seal Springbank Road from Garterys Road to the ROW at 411 Springbank Road (Lot 1 DP474802)	Apr 2020	GL100000004 100	FC1920Roa d
	Debtor s,	22/05/202	0040525		Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation	6		effect on Charg e			D.I. D.CC	Condition 13 of RC185354 Financial Contribution of \$1229.00 (including GST		C140000004	F.G. 1441
80137501	Debtor s	22/06/202		748945	Transactio n, Y Charge,	Curre nt	2,137.39	Amou nt No	202006	2020	DebDCSewerWden dTua	@ 15%) per Sewer connection RC185310 as per Decision 200128010502 - Condition 10. Financial Contribution:	Jun 2020	GL100000004 100	FcSewWot ua
	Debtor s, Debtor	30/11/202	RC18531		Initial Debit Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre		effect on Charg e Amou				10.1 The consent holder shall pay to the Council a Road Upgrading Financial Contribution		GL100000004	FC2021Roa
86451435	S		0	758235	n, Y Charge, Initial Debit	nt	8,568.75	nt No effect on	202011	2021	DebFCRoad	of \$8568.75 plus GST.	Nov 2020	100	d
	Debtor s, Debtor	29/04/201	RC18541		Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	17,745.0	Charg e Amou				Kerbing and new		GL100000004	FC1819Roa
67305055	S		2	727426	n, Y Charge, Initial Debit	nt	0	nt No effect on	201904	2019	DebFCRoad	footpath Cone Street	Apr 2019	100	d
	Debtor s, Debtor		RC20500		Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre		Charg e Amou				RC205004 Two Lot Subdivision Road Financial Contribution		GL100000004	FC1920Roa
86653579	S	9/12/2020		758784	n, Y Charge, Initial Debit	nt	4,363.35	nt No effect	202012	2021	DebFCRoad	as per condition 6.1  Financial Contributions	Dec 2020	100	d
	Debtor s, Debtor	20/04/201			Fee/Charg e Creation Transactio	Curre	60,000.0	Charg e			DebDCWaterPegas	for installing a fifth big pump and modifications to the switchboard		GL100000004	FC1415Peg
26269496 <b>124</b>	S		18636	656499	n, Y	nt		nt	201504	2015	~	controls	Apr 2015	100	W