Before an Independent Hearings Panel appointed by the Waimakariri District Council under: the Resource Management Act 1991 in the matter of: PDP Hearing Stream Eight.

Memorandum of Ken Fletcher

Introduction:

- 1. I made submissions on the PDP (Submitter #99) and Variation 1 (Submitter #74).
- 2. I appeared at Hearing Stream One in support of my submissions.
- 3. I provided written Expert Evidence and a written Lay Statement to Hearing Stream Eight

S42A Reports for Hearing Stream Eight

- 4. I reviewed the S42A Urban Subdivision Report prepared by Ms McClung dated 13 March 2024 prior to the Stream Eight hearing. In response I rapidly completed the Statement of Expert Evidence that I was preparing for the Stream Seven hearing, and submitted it together with a Lay Statement for consideration by the Panel as part of the Stream Eight hearing. These were accepted by the panel and appear on the Stream Eight webpage.
- 5. In her speaking notes for the Stream Eight hearing (at 14-15), Ms McClung states that she has read all the submitter evidence received on this topic and lists the evidence received. My evidence and statement are not included in her list.
- 6. Ms McClung's Reply on Urban Subdivision dated 6 May 2024 states (at 10)

Appendix 1 has a list of materials provided by submitters including expert evidence, legal submissions, submitter statements etc. This information is all available on the Council website.

Appendix 1 is titled

"List of materials provided by submitters".

- 7. My statements, although included on the Council webpage, are not included in Ms McClung's Appendix 1, and there is no reference to my statements in the body of her Reply report.
- 8. It appears that Ms McClung's Reply is limited to only responding to the questions explicitly asked of her by the Panel and not to any other matters raised through the hearing.
- 9. It appears that Ms McClung is not aware of my statement of Expert Evidence and Lay Statement.

- 10. Given Ms McClung accepts that an implication of Variation One is that the General Residential Zone (GRZ) only applies to Oxford (her s42A Report at 327), her response to my statements may be of value to the Panel.
- 11. Her consideration of my statements in conjunction with the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board submission points 172.2 and 172.3, that she refers to at para 64-66 of her s42A report for Stream 12A: **Rezoning Oxford and Settlement Zone** dated 3 May, would also be relevant.
- 12. I am available to assist Ms McClung and the Panel as required.

Ken Fletcher

Hen-Vetch

26 July 2024

Appendix 1

Extracts from Submission on Variation 1

Submission

The introduction of the MDRS brings into sharp focus the effect that the operative plan has had in limiting the range of lot sizes bought about through the interaction of the subdivision rules and the operation of the market. This is continued in the proposed plan and made more so through Variation 1. While I have no issue with the provision of medium density housing per se, it has the effect of limiting even more the range of lot sizes being made available, and thereby greatly limiting the range and variety of residential types sizes and densities, contrary to the strategic directions, objectives and policies.

The interaction of the market and the rules needs to be taken into account. The current and proposed rules interact with economics in such a way to drive the range of residential lot sizes brought to the market towards two points the minimum size enabled in the general residential and MDRS zones, and the required average lot size in the large lot residential zone. Thus residential lot sizes in the MDRS and GRZ zones congregate in the region just above the minimum lot size (600m2 in the operative plan and 500m2 in the proposed plan) - typically 600-700m2 depending on size of lot being subdivided, and around the required 5000m2 average in the LLRZ zone. There is almost nothing subdivided to produce lots in the 800-2500m2 range, or between 2500 & 4000m2.

The MDRS of Variation 1 will increase the demand for larger (800-2000m2) lot sizes, while doing nothing to provide for such lot sizes, other than for multi-unit/multi-storey developments. Indeed, it is likely to reduce the supply of such site as they are more suited to redevelopment to multi-unit developments.

The current provisions do not recognise that anything greater than 1000m2 is a large lot in today's residential land market.

Relief Sought

Introduce provisions that will in fact produce a range of lot sizes being made available through subdivision. There are several ways this could be achieved, including

redefining the Large Lot Residential Zone to be anything greater than 1000m and allowing subdivision to this size as a restricted discretionary (or no more than discretionary) activity. this would then apply to all land zoned Res4a or 4b in the current plan;

creating a new zone (LLRZ1) that allows subdivision down to 1000m2 (or 2000m2) as a controlled or restricted discretionary activity, and apply this to land currently zoned Res 4A or 4B; and renaming the proposed LLRZ to be LLRZ2 and applying this zoning to land rezoned from rural, with subdivision down to 2500m2 available as a discretionary activity;

enabling subdivision of LLRZ land to (say) 2500m2 as a restricted discretionary activity, and below that size being discretionary.

Appendix 2

Extract from Statement to Hearing 1 & 2

Description of the District

The district description states

Some 80% of the population is located in the eastern part of the <u>District</u> which contains the largest towns of Kaiapoi, Rangiora and Woodend/Pegasus. Oxford is the largest town in the west of the <u>District</u>.

This, while correct, does not capture the gulf that separates Oxford from the other named centres, and this is generally the case throughout the Plan. The Plan variously identifies Oxford, along with Rangiora and Kaiapoi as a Key Activity Centreⁱ, as having a Principal Shopping Streetⁱⁱ, and as one of the four main centres (including Woodend)ⁱⁱⁱ. Oxford is stated to be one of "...the larger <u>urban environments</u>..." along with Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus^{iv}.

Reading the plan gives the impression that Oxford is one and the same as Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus, just "in the west". The reality is very different.

- Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus are all within 10km of each other. Oxford is 30-40km from all of them.
- Rangiora/Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus all have 10,000-plus population, Oxford has about 2,200°.
- Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Wooded/Pegasus all have public transport linking them to each other and to Christchurch. Oxford has no public transport.
- Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus have two all-year round public swimming pools, and an indoor sports stadium, funded by rates. Oxford has neither.
- Between them, Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus have numerous primary schools and two secondary schools. Oxford has an Area School.
- Oxford is still dominated by the classic Kiwi half- and quarter-acre lots, despite postearthquake development of sub-1000m2 developments and infill subdivisions. It retains the character of the classic NZ country town.
- Oxford has a considerably higher self-sufficiency ratio that the wider district, with over 40% of resident labour force working in Oxford ward, and over 55% working within the district^{vi}.
- Although Oxford is experiencing the same population growth pressures as the rest of the district (15% growth 2013-2018 and 28% growth 2006-2018^{vii}), Oxford is the only Waimakariri main centre that has not had areas of residential or commercial land expansion identified in the proposed plan.
- Although the plan includes Oxford as an urban environment (along with every hamlet village and town in the district!), despite being nowhere near the 10,000-population threshold^{viii}, Oxford is not prima facie an urban environment under the NPS-UD,

unlike Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus. It is unclear, but the plan appears to consider the entire district not zoned rural to be part of one single housing and labour market. This is the only way the Plan description of the urban environment can be reconciled with the NPS-UD definition.

- Oxford is not subject to the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions.
- Oxford is not included within Greater Christchurch area and is not covered in the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan^{ix}.
- Oxford is not covered by Map A of the RPS.
- WDC 2021 housing demand assessment has excluded Oxford because it is not within the Greater Christchurch Partnership area^x. The NPS-UD does not require a housing demand assessment for Greater Christchurch, but to urban environments within Tier 1 districts. Waimakariri is the tier 1 district, not Greater Christchurch. Although Oxford is nominally excluded under the NPS-UD definition, the proposed plan interpretation of Urban Environment brings Oxford back into the scope of NPS-UD.
- WDC is now updating its future development strategy (Our District, our Future, July 2018) in conjunction with the Greater Christchurch partners as a joint future development strategy^{xi}. As Oxford is outside the scope of Greater Christchurch, Oxford is excluded from the District Future Development Strategy.

The planning fixation on Greater Christchurch and Map A of the RPS leads to unintended consequences for areas like Oxford that are outside Greater Christchurch, as demonstrated by the previous submission from Ashley Industrial Services. The plan writers and the s42A report assumes that, being outside Greater Christchurch, Oxford will not have any areas of new development and/or that reverse sensitivity effects could not apply in Oxford. The plan is about the Waimakariri District and applies to the whole district. It is not about the Greater Christchurch area.

Oxford Is a Key Activity Centre, it is one of the District's four main centres, it does have a Principal Shopping Street and is one of the larger urban environments, along with Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus/Ravenswood, as stated in the proposed plan. But Oxford is very clearly not like Rangiora, Kaiapoi, and Woodend/Ravenswood/Pegasus. Oxford retains much of the character and flavour of a country town, while the other centres are better characterised as commuter towns and satellites of Christchurch.

Oxford is the largest town in the west of the district, but it has not yet joined Bilbo, Frodo and the elves and gone into the west. Oxford has a different character and flavour from the other district main centres, and this needs to be recognised in the proposed plan. The only place where this can be done is in the District Description

The s42A report claims that the Description of the District is about the physical features of the district in objective terms, and that character is subjective^{xii}. While the detail of character may be subjective, that there are significant differences in character between centres is objective fact. Where those centres are otherwise lumped together in the detail of the plan, that there is a significant difference needs to be acknowledged and stated upfront in the plan. The only place to do that is in the Description of the District.

Urban Centres v Urban Environment

At 3.9.1 the Urban Form s42A report recommends the introduction of a new definition, Urban Centres, that includes Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus but not Oxford, and

then proposed using Urban Centres in place of Urban Environment in SD-O2, UFD-P1 and UFD-P2. The effect of that is to remove any objective or policy provisions allowing new residential development in Oxford.

The s42A report states (para 167) (emphasis added)

The intent of the Urban Form and Development objectives and policies are to encourage any future residential, industrial and commercial development to occur on land that adjoins **Rangiora**, **Kaiapoi** and **Woodend** in line with objectives 6.2.2(4) and 6.2.2(5), and Policy 6.3.1(4) of the RPS.

That is not what the notified urban form objectives and policies are doing. As notified, they are about residential capacity development in **the district**¹, with a particular carve out for that occurring in Rangiora and Kaiapoi². Submissions seek to extend the carve out to Woodend/Pegasus. Any move to restrict new residential development to just the carve out areas is an error and out of scope.

The planning fixation of Greater Christchurch and Map A again results in Oxford falling out of the Plan. The latest WDC Future Development Strategy has Oxford population growing by 67% 2018-2048³. The s42A report would preclude any new residential development in Oxford to accommodate that growth.

Oxford is different from Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus and this needs to be clearly acknowledged in the Plan at a high level. The only place to do this is in the description of the district. If this is not done the planning fixation of Greater Christchurch will result in Oxford being forgotten in this plan development process and will result in Oxford truly going into the West.

I stand by my submission that the Description of the District should be amended to read

Oxford, is the largest town in the west of the <u>District has a different character to</u> Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Woodend/Pegasus.

¹ Definitions: KEY ACTIVITY CENTRE - means the centres of Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Oxford which are focal points for employment, community activities and the transport network; and which are suitable for more intensive mixed-use development.

Definitions: PRINCIPAL SHOPPING STREET - means an area identified in the <u>District Plan</u> as a principal shopping street in Rangiora, Oxford or Kaiapoi.

iii SD-O3 Urban Development (5) supports a hierarchy of urban centres, with the District's main centres in Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Oxford and Woodend being: ...

¹ SD-O3, UFD-O1, UFD-P1, UFD-P2(2), UFD-P3 to UFD-P5 and UFD-P7 to UFD-P10

² UFD-P2(1) and UFD-P6

³ Our District, our Future, July 2018, pp44-45

^{iv} General Objectives for all Residential Zones, Introduction

- a) is, or is intended to be, predominantly urban in character; and
- b) is, or is intended to be, part of a housing and labour market of at least 10,000 people. For Waimakariri <u>District</u>, the urban environment described in (a) and (b) comprises the towns of Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Woodend (including Ravenswood), Pegasus, Oxford, Waikuku, Waikuku Beach, The Pines Beach, Kairaki, Woodend Beach, the small towns of Ashley, Sefton, Cust, Ohoka, Mandeville, and all Large Lot Residential Zone areas

v 2018 Census

vi Oxford: An economic profile for Oxford and the Wider Waimakariri District, 2015, p 11

vii https://www.stats.govt.nz/tools/2018-census-place-summaries/oxford

viii Definitions: URBAN ENVIRONMENT - means any area of land (regardless of size, and irrespective of local authority or statistical boundaries) that:

ix Our Space 2018-2048, Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update, July 2019

^x S42A Urban Form and Development at 74

xi Email from Anne Babbage, WDC planner, 9/5/23

xii S42A Overarching and Part 1 Matters at 66